

# Preventing Eutrophication

Scientific Support for Dual  
Nutrient Criteria  
EPA-820-S-15-001

Caitlain Thompson  
US EPA Region 6  
Dallas, TX  
[thompson.caitlain@epa.gov](mailto:thompson.caitlain@epa.gov)

# SUMMARY

- ▶ Pollution from Nitrogen (N) and Phosphorus (P) sources is a leading cause of degradation of U.S. water quality.
- ▶ Excessive N and P increase the production of plant (both algal and vascular plants) and microbial biomass. This causes a depletion of:
  - ▶ dissolved oxygen
  - ▶ reduced transparency
  - ▶ changes in biotic community composition



# BACKGROUND

- ▶ These changes in aquatic life and excessive amounts of nutrients can also:
  - ▶ Degrade aesthetics of recreational waters, and
  - ▶ Increase the incidence of harmful algal blooms (HABs).
- ▶ Endangering human health through the production of toxins, and
- ▶ Contamination of recreational and drinking water resources.



# BACKGROUND, continued

- ▶ Under the Clean Water Act, both states and tribes are responsible for establishing water quality standards.
  - ▶ Designated uses.
  - ▶ Establish criteria to protect those uses.
  - ▶ Develop anti-degradation policies and implementation methods.
  - ▶ Provide for the protection of downstream waters.
- ▶ Development of a numeric nutrient criteria is one aspect for a coordinated and comprehensive approach to nutrient management and water quality standards.

# WHY DEVELOP CRITERIA FOR BOTH N AND P?

- ▶ The traditional method focuses on a single limiting nutrient (i.e., N or P) based on a paradigm.
  - ▶ N-limited in marine water, and
  - ▶ P-limited in freshwaters.
- ▶ This leads to an overly simplistic model for management of nutrient pollution.



# TROPHIC STATUS MAY VARY SPATIALLY AND TEMPORALLY.

- ▶ Nutrients vary across a landscape.
  - ▶ Climate
  - ▶ Flow
  - ▶ Geology
  - ▶ Soils
  - ▶ Biological processes, and
  - ▶ Human activities
- ▶ Criteria for **BOTH** N and P provide the greatest likelihood of protection.

# AQUATIC FLORA AND FAUNA HAVE DIVERSE NUTRITIONAL NEEDS.

- ▶ Some species may exhibit N limitation while other show P limitation or co-limitation by both N and P.
- ▶ Criteria for **BOTH** N and P provide the greatest likelihood to protect aquatic systems.



# N FIXATION DOES NOT FULLY OFFSET N DEFICIENCY.

- ▶ N is highly variable across waterbody types.
- ▶ Scientific evidence indicates that N fixation is not able to fully offset N deficiency in either fresh or marine waters.
- ▶ Numeric criteria for **BOTH** N and P are likely to be more effective in protecting aquatic systems.

# N & P HAVE A ROLE IN PROTECTING DOWNSTREAM WATERS.

- ▶ Nutrient concentrations in streams may not trigger an adverse effect until some distance downstream where other factors:
  - ▶ Light
  - ▶ Temperature
  - ▶ Substrate, or
  - ▶ Velocity

no longer suppress the response to nutrients.

# CONTROLLING ONLY P MAY NOT PREVENT HABs IN FRESHWATER

- ▶ Certain harmful algal taxa thrive, and are even more toxic, in conditions where N is disproportionately available relative to P.
- ▶ Toxic algae such as cyanobacteria possess unique physiological characteristics that allow them to out compete other species in N-rich/P-poor conditions.



# CONCLUSION

- ▶ Nutrient pollution is a major cause of degradation in U.S. waters.
- ▶ Given that:
  - ▶ Aquatic systems are dynamic and complicated; and
  - ▶ Downstream waters need protection.
- ▶ Scientific evidence supports criteria for BOTH N and P.

For more information:

[http://water.epa.gov/scitech/swguidance/standards/criteria/nutrients/guidance\\_index.cfm](http://water.epa.gov/scitech/swguidance/standards/criteria/nutrients/guidance_index.cfm)

Photos retrieved from :

<http://www2.epa.gov/nutrientpollution/problem> (slide 2)

<http://www2.epa.gov/nutrientpollution/effects> (slide 3)

<http://www2.epa.gov/nutrientpollution/effects-environment> (slide 5)

<http://www.scienceclarified.com/El-Ex/Eutrophication.html> (slide 7)

<http://ks.water.usgs.gov/cyanobacteria> (slide 10)